PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE



Thursday, November 12, 2020 SCRD Boardroom, 1975 Field Road, Sechelt, B.C.

AMENDED AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER 9:30 a.m.

AGENDA

1. Adoption of Agenda

PRESENTATIONS AND DELEGATIONS

2.	<u>Chris Hergesheimer, Director of Programs and Innovation, One Straw Society</u> Regarding Sunshine Coast Food Charter	ANNEX A pp 1 - 5	
3.	<u>Development Variance Permit Application DVP00054 (4355 Lake Road)</u> i. Lorna Vanderhaeghe (Owner/Applicant) ii.Martin Aidelbaum (Adjacent Property Owner)	Verbal	
REPORTS			
4.	Development Variance Permit Application DVP00054 (4355 Lake Road) Manager, Planning and Development Electoral Area A (Rural Planning) (Voting – A, B, D, E, F)	ANNEX B pp 6 - 68	
5.	Development Variance Permit Application DVP00063 (5642 Mintie Road) Senior Planner Electoral Area B (Rural Planning) (Voting – A, B, D, E, F) →REMOVE Attachment B pp 95 - 161	ANNEX C pp 69 - 161 <mark>→INSERT</mark> pp 95	
6.	698 Leek Road, Roberts Creek – Covenant Amendment Senior Planner Rural Planning (Voting – A, B, D, E, F)	ANNEX D pp 162 - 176	
7.	Gibsons and District Aquatic Facility (GDAF) Re-opening Report Manager, Recreation Services Community Recreation Facilities (Voting – B, D, E, F, ToG, DoS, SIGD)	ANNEX E pp 177 - 183	
8.	Coopers Green Hall Public Consultation Process General Manager, Planning and Community Development Community Parks (Voting – A, B, D, E, F)	ANNEX F pp 184 - 193	
9.	Coopers Green (tituls) Park Boat Launch General Manager, Planning and Community Development Community Parks (Voting – A, B, D, E, F)	ANNEX G pp 194 - 208	
10.	Dakota Ridge Snowmobile Replacement and UTV Repair Options Parks Planning Coordinator Dakota Ridge Recreation Service Area (Voting – All)	ANNEX H pp 209 - 211	

Planni	ing and Community Development Committee Amended Agenda – November 12, 2020	Page 2	
11.	Seaview Cemetery - Additional Columbarium Purchase Parks Superintendent Cemetery Services (Voting – All)	ANNEX I pp 212 - 213	
12.	Communications Plan for Step Code Implementation Chief Building Official Building Inspection Services (Voting – A, B, D, E, F, SIGD)	ANNEX J pp 214 - 215	
13.	RFP 2011602 - Fire Department Apparatus Replacement Award Report Manager, Protective Services Fire Protection (Voting – B, D, E, F, and ToG)	ANNEX K pp 216 - 217	
14.	Sunshine Coast Regional District Policing and Public Safety Committee Meeting Minutes of October 15, 2020 (Voting – All)	ANNEX L pp 218 - 219	
COMMUNICATIONS			
15.	Raquel Kolof, Hough Heritage Farm, 367 Hough Road, Elphinstone dated October <u>4, 2020</u> Regarding Restricting Industrial Cannabis Production on Agricultural Land	ANNEX M pp 220 - 223	
16.	<u>Peter Luckham, Chair, Islands Trust Council, dated October 2, 2020</u> Regarding Letter to Minister of Transportation and Infrastructure and Minister of Transport regarding New Brighton Dock, Gambier Island.	ANNEX N pp 224 - 225	
17.	<u>Dr. Bonnie Henry, Provincial Medical Health Officer, Ministry of Health dated</u> <u>October 14, 2020</u> Regarding use of facilities for public immunization clinics	ANNEX O pp 226	
18.	<u>Hon. Marc Garneau, M.P., Minister of Transport, received October 30, 2020</u> Regarding Response to September 30, 2020 Letter regarding New Brighton Dock from Patrick Weiler, M.P. West Vancouver – Sunshine Coast – Sea to Sky Country	ANNEX P pp 227 - 228 /	

NEW BUSINESS

IN CAMERA

That the public be excluded from attendance at the meeting in accordance with Section 90 (1) (a), (e), (k) and 2(b) of the Community Charter – "personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the municipality or another position appointed by the municipality", "the acquisition, disposition or expropriation of land or improvements, if the council considers that disclosure could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality", "negotiations and related discussions respecting the proposed provision of a municipal service that are at their preliminary stages and that, in the view of the council, could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of a municipal service that are at their preliminary stages and that, in the view of the council, could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of a municipal service that are at their preliminary stages and that, in the view of the council, could reasonably be expected to harm the interests of the municipality and "the consideration of information received and held in confidence relating to negotiation between the municipality and a provincial government or the federal government or both, or between a provincial government or the federal government or both and a third party".

ADJOURNMENT

Attachment B – Summary of Community Comments

Staff have so far received approximately 50 submissions regarding the proposed development and variance application from the community. Comments in favour of the development and the variance application are expressed by 30 members of the public in their submissions and through responses to social media posts; and comments opposing the development and application have been received from 11 members of the public. These comments can be summarized as follows.

Favourable comments indicate that:

- The redevelopment of the Halfmoon Bay General store has community benefits of offering convenient grocery shopping for local residents, amenity for visitors and economic opportunities for a local business, strengthening the vitality of the existing community hub in this location and revitalizing this historic place in Halfmoon Bay.
- There is a need for commercial facilities in this location to serve the surrounding community, and such facilities can continue to coexist with surrounding residential neighborhoods.

Common concerns expressed by those opposing the development and variance application are:

- The existing business operation of the general store has negative impacts on the surrounding residential neighborhood: congestion of traffic and on-street parking, lack of loading space, noise, delivery vehicle blocking driveway and fire hydrant access, hazard to pedestrians, loss of privacy and ocean view, garbage bin left on street.
- The proposed development is perceived as out of scale of the existing buildings and business operation.
- The proposed variances further contravene the zoning bylaw.
- The existing uses on the property are perceived as non-conforming to the zoning bylaw, and therefore should not be allowed to expand.